

tailed study (including medium effects) will be given at a later date.<sup>8</sup>

(8) NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Recent pH-metric studies lead to dissociation rates  $k_{-1}$  of the order of  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-4}$   $\text{sec}^{-1}$  for the barium and strontium cryptates, respectively, and to  $\log K_s$  values of the order of 10 for these complexes. More accurate values will be given in the final account of this work.

J. M. Lehn, J. P. Sauvage, B. Dietrich  
 Institut de Chimie, 1 rue Blaise Pascal  
 Strasbourg, France  
 Received January 26, 1970

### On the Volume of Diels–Alder Transition States

Sir:

We wish to report the first case of a bimolecular reaction for which the transition state is smaller than the product. The Diels–Alder additions of three different dienes to maleic anhydride have activation volumes which are significantly more negative than the volume changes on reaction.

The reactions studied included maleic anhydride with isoprene, with 1,3-cyclohexadiene, and with *trans*-1-methoxy-1,3-butadiene, and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate with cyclopentadiene. Rate constants based on mole fractions were measured at 50, 2500, 5000, 7500, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 psi. Initial reactant concentrations were approximately 0.01 mol fraction. Activation volumes at zero pressure were determined from a least-squares fit to the equation  $\ln k = a + bP + cP^2$  and from the relationship

$$\left(\frac{\partial \ln k}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P=0} = -\frac{\Delta \bar{v}_0^\ddagger}{RT} = b$$

which is rigorously correct only when rate constants are based on pressure-independent concentration units.<sup>6</sup> The activation volumes and the differences in partial molal volumes between reactants and products are listed in Table I.<sup>7</sup>

Comparison of the activation volumes and volume changes on reaction indicates that the transition state

**Table I.** Comparison of Activation Volumes with the Volume Change on Reaction for Several Diels–Alder Reactions

Reaction	Solvent	Temp, °C	Actn vol, $\Delta \bar{v}_0^\ddagger$ , cc/g mol	Vol change on reactn, <sup>a</sup> cc/g mol
Maleic anhydride–isoprene	Acetone	35	$-39.0 \pm 0.8$	$-35.9 \pm 0.9$
Maleic anhydride– <i>trans</i> -1-methoxy-1,3-butadiene	1,2-Dichloroethane	35	$-43.9 \pm 2.0$	$-30.4 \pm 0.9$
Maleic anhydride–1,3-cyclohexadiene	Dichloromethane	35	$-39.6 \pm 0.8$	$-30.3 \pm 0.9$
Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate–cyclopentadiene	Ethyl acetate	10	$-30.2 \pm 0.7$	$-33.8 \pm 0.8$

<sup>a</sup>  $\bar{v}^\infty(\text{product}) - \bar{v}^\infty(\text{diene}) - \bar{v}^\infty(\text{dienophile})$ .

Several authors<sup>1</sup> have previously measured activation volumes for Diels–Alder reactions, but there has been considerable controversy concerning the accuracy of these data.<sup>2</sup> In particular, the data for the dimerization of isoprene have been interpreted to support either a two-step diradical mechanism<sup>1b</sup> or a concerted multicenter molecular mechanism<sup>2a</sup> for the Diels–Alder reaction. This situation has led to skepticism regarding any interpretation of these data in recent reviews on the mechanism of the Diels–Alder reaction.<sup>3</sup>

The new data for activation volumes come from precise determinations of reaction rate constants at elevated pressures, using an improved technique involving *in situ* mixing to avoid errors due to heat of compression. This technique<sup>4</sup> should yield rates appreciably more accurate than those which could be achieved in previous high-pressure kinetic studies of Diels–Alder reactions. Reactant and product volumes were measured as partial molal volumes by an accurate dilatometric method, similar to that used by McCabe, *et al.*<sup>5</sup>

(1) (a) B. Raistrick, R. H. Sapiro, and D. M. Newitt, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1761 (1939); (b) C. Walling and J. Peisach, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 80, 5819 (1958); (c) C. Walling and H. J. Schugar, *ibid.*, 85, 607 (1963).

(2) (a) S. W. Benson and J. A. Berson, *ibid.*, 84, 152 (1962); (b) C. Walling and D. T. Tanner, *ibid.*, 85, 612 (1963); (c) S. W. Benson and J. A. Berson, *ibid.*, 86, 259 (1964).

(3) (a) J. Sauer, *Angew. Chem.*, 79, 76 (1967); (b) S. Seltzer, *Advan. Alicyclic Chem.*, 2, 1 (1968).

(4) R. A. Grieger and C. A. Eckert, *AIChE J.*, in press.

(5) J. R. McCabe, R. A. Grieger, and C. A. Eckert, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundamentals*, 9, 156 (1970).

is smaller than the product for the three maleic anhydride reactions. Measurements of activation volumes and volume changes in eight additional solvents for the maleic anhydride–isoprene reaction showed that the transition state was consistently smaller than the product, indicating that neither electrostriction nor specific solute–solvent interactions were responsible for the effect.

If the diene and maleic anhydride are coplanar in the transition state, some increase in volume might be expected after the new bonds are completely formed, due to conformational changes in the product. A similar difference in position of the anhydride entity is found between the *endo* and *exo* adducts of maleic anhydride and cyclopentadiene. The *exo* adduct was prepared by the method of Craig<sup>8</sup> and the partial molal volumes of the *endo* and *exo* adducts were measured in nitromethane and dichloromethane. The partial molal volumes at infinite dilution are shown in Table II. The volume difference between the two is an order of

(6) W. J. LeNoble, *Progr. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 5, 207 (1967).

(7) As a check on these results, the alternate scheme of Benson and Berson<sup>2a</sup> for getting activation volumes from a modification of the Tait equation was applied. Because of a numerical approximation in the development, this method is applicable only to points taken at pressures above about 2000 atm. The rate constants have been measured to 6000 atm for the maleic anhydride–isoprene reaction and for the dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate–cyclopentadiene reaction, and the activation volumes found from the high-pressure points by this method are within experimental error of the activation volume from the quadratic fit of the low-pressure points.

(8) D. Craig, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 73, 4889 (1951).

